UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,694	02/22/2006	Matthias Frauenkron	12810-00190-US	9696
23416 7590 09/17/2008 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207 WH MINGTON, DE 10000			EXAMINER	
			DAVIS, BRIAN J	
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/566,694	FRAUENKRON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian J. Davis	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —	-					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	,,					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,13</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12, 14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
,—						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 February 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	. ,					
·— <u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/1/06;3/16/06;4/25/08</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification does not contain a section entitled Brief Description of the Drawings.

MPEP 608.01 (f). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is an obvious misspelling in the 5th line of the claim ("ninto"). Appropriate correction is required.

Applicant's assistance is respectfully requested in correcting any other spelling and/or grammatical errors that may be present in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 10 recites the limitation "the first separation sequence." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the second separation sequence." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The examiner respectfully suggests that a clear and consistent nomenclature/description be maintained throughout the claim set. For instance, there is an explicit labeling of a separation sequence 3 in claim 11 (but no similar labeling of other separation sequences such as sequences 1 or 2 in the claim set).

Claims 12 and 14 are also rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as claims which depend from indefinite claims are also indefinite. *Ex parte Cordova*, 10 USPQ 2d 1949, 1952 (PTO Bd. App. 1989).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6, 8, 9 and 13 are allowed. The subject matter of the remaining claims would be allowable once the objection and 112 rejections outlined above have been overcome. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The key to the instant invention is the separation of EDA from the product stream of the first reactor and its introduction into the second reactor. The closest prior art appears to be EP 0197611, cited by applicant in the IDS. The cited reference teaches a process related to that of the instant invention (column 3, line 44; column 4, line 14; column 5, line 40)). The reference neither teaches nor suggests applicant's key separation step, however.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel M. Sullivan can be reached at 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian J. Davis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621 9/12/08